UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One) ⊠	QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO S THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE	
For the quarterly period ended <u>June 27, 2009</u>	9	
	OR	
	TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO S THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE	
	For the transition period from	to
	Commission File Number: <u>0</u>	<u>-21238</u>
	LANDSTAR	
Ι	LANDSTAR SYST (Exact name of registrant as specifie	,
Delaware		06-1313069
(State or other jurisd of incorporation or orga		(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
(Form	13410 Sutton Park Drive South, Jack (Address of principal executiv 32224 (Zip Code) (904) 398-9400 (Registrant's telephone number, inclu- N/A ter name, former address and former fiscal year	duding area code)
		ed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 d to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing
Yes ☑ No □		
		on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required
Yes □ No □		
		I filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See pany" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):
Large accelerated filer \boxtimes Accele Smaller reporting company \square	rated filer ☐ Non-accelerated filer ☐ (I	Oo not check if a smaller reporting company)
Indicate by check mark whether the regist	rant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 1	2b-2 of the Exchange Act).
Yes □ No ☑		
The number of shares of the registrant's co	ommon stock, par value \$0.01 per share, outst	tanding as of the close of business on July 17, 2009 was 51,312,580.

Index

PART I — Financial Information

Item 1.	<u>Financial Statements</u>	
Consolidate	d Balance Sheets as of June 27, 2009 and December 27, 2008	Page 3
Consolidate	d Statements of Income for the Twenty Six and Thirteen Weeks Ended June 27, 2009 and June 28, 2008	Page 4
Consolidate	d Statements of Cash Flows for the Twenty Six Weeks Ended June 27, 2009 and June 28, 2008	Page 5
Consolidate	d Statement of Changes in Shareholders' Equity for the Twenty Six Weeks Ended June 27, 2009	Page 6
Notes to Cor	nsolidated Financial Statements	Page 7
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	Page 11
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	Page 19
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	Page 20
	PART II — Other Information	
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	Page 20
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	Page 21
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	Page 22
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	Page 22
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	Page 23
Signatures EX-31.1 EX-31.2 EX-32.1 EX-32.2		Page 25

PART I — FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

The interim consolidated financial statements contained herein reflect all adjustments (all of a normal, recurring nature) which, in the opinion of management, are necessary for a fair statement of the financial condition, results of operations, cash flows and changes in shareholders' equity for the periods presented. They have been prepared in accordance with Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X and do not include all the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. Operating results for the twenty six weeks ended June 27, 2009 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the entire fiscal year ending December 26, 2009.

These interim financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

LANDSTAR SYSTEM, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts) (Unaudited)

	June 27, 2009	Dec. 27, 2008
ASSETS		
Current Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 92,091	\$ 98,904
Short-term investments	23,791	23,479
Trade accounts receivable, less allowance of \$7,092 and \$6,230	237,516	315,065
Other receivables, including advances to independent contractors, less allowance of \$5,344 and \$4,298	12,639	10,083
Deferred income taxes and other current assets	28,128	27,871
Total current assets	394,165	475,402
Operating property, less accumulated depreciation and amortization of \$115,023 and \$106,635	124,513	124,178
Goodwill	31,134	31,134
Other assets	33,512	32,816
Total assets	\$ 583,324	\$ 663,530
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current Liabilities		
Cash overdraft	\$ 20,471	\$ 32,065
Accounts payable	91,858	105,882
Current maturities of long-term debt	25,881	24,693
Insurance claims	27,410	23,545
Accrued income taxes	11,948	12,239
Other current liabilities	34,435	38,161
Total current liabilities	212,003	236,585
Long-term debt, excluding current maturities	37,778	111,752
Insurance claims	34,459	38,278
Deferred income taxes	27,825	23,779
Shareholders' Equity		
Common stock, \$0.01 par value, authorized 160,000,000 shares, issued 66,181,267 and 66,109,547 shares	662	661
Additional paid-in capital	158,543	154,533
Retained earnings	731,959	704,331
Cost of 14,868,687 and 14,424,887 shares of common stock in treasury	(619,609)	(605,828)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(296)	(561)
Total shareholders' equity	271,259	253,136
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 583,324	\$ 663,530
See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.		
3		

LANDSTAR SYSTEM, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts) (Unaudited)

	Twenty Six	Twenty Six Weeks Ended		Thirteen Weeks Ended		
	June 27, 2009	June 28, 2008	June 27, 2009	June 28, 2008		
Revenue	\$ 960,411	\$ 1,306,479	\$ 491,164	\$ 697,651		
Investment income	675	1,869	250	773		
Costs and expenses:						
Purchased transportation	717,891	1,003,345	366,567	538,316		
Commissions to agents	78,251	99,590	39,927	52,776		
Other operating costs	14,838	13,940	7,388	7,356		
Insurance and claims	18,799	19,034	9,797	9,513		
Selling, general and administrative	66,612	70,958	32,243	35,101		
Depreciation and amortization	11,201	10,307	5,716	5,177		
Total costs and expenses	907,592	1,217,174	461,638	648,239		
Operating income	53,494	91,174	29,776	50,185		
Interest and debt expense	2,136	3,878	973	1,736		
Income before income taxes	51,358	87,296	28,803	48,449		
Income taxes	19,607	33,788	10,946	18,684		
Net income	\$ 31,751	\$ 53,508	\$ 17,857	\$ 29,765		
Earnings per common share	\$ 0.62	\$ 1.01	\$ 0.35	\$ 0.56		
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.61	\$ 1.01	\$ 0.35	\$ 0.56		
Average number of shares outstanding:						
Earnings per common share	51,453,000	52,726,000	51,330,000	52,851,000		
Diluted earnings per share	51,636,000	53,198,000	51,487,000	53,373,000		
Dividends paid per common share	\$ 0.0800	\$ 0.0750	\$ 0.0400	\$ 0.0375		

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

LANDSTAR SYSTEM, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Dollars in thousands) (Unaudited)

	Twenty Six V	Veeks Ended
	June 27, 2009	June 28, 2008
OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Net income	\$ 31,751	\$ 53,508
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization of operating property	11,201	10,307
Non-cash interest charges	109	87
Provisions for losses on trade and other accounts receivable	4,868	3,156
Losses (gains) on sales/disposals of operating property	(80)	7
Director compensation paid in common stock	_	634
Deferred income taxes, net	3,542	4,183
Stock-based compensation	2,570	3,352
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Decrease (increase) in trade and other accounts receivable	70,125	(47,409
Decrease (increase) in other assets	388	(7,056
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable	(14,024)	15,664
Decrease in other liabilities	(4,149)	(1,405
Increase (decrease) in insurance claims	46	(440
NET CASH PROVIDED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES	106,347	34,588
INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Net change in other short-term investments	4,553	(2,783
Sales and maturities of investments	5,612	7,529
Purchases of investments	(11,049)	(6,881
Purchases of operating property	(2,047)	(3,997
Proceeds from sales of operating property	384	23
NET CASH USED BY INVESTING ACTIVITIES	(2,547)	(6,109
FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Increase (decrease) in cash overdraft	(11,594)	4,368
Dividends paid	(4,123)	(3,955
Proceeds from exercises of stock options	1,116	11,993
Excess tax benefit on stock option exercises	325	1,630
Borrowings on revolving credit facility	_	67,000
Purchases of common stock	(13,781)	_
Principal payments on long-term debt and capital lease obligations	(82,579)	(91,473
NET CASH USED BY FINANCING ACTIVITIES	(110,636)	(10,437
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	23	_
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(6,813)	18,042
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	98,904	60,750
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 92,091	\$ 78,792
See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.	y 72,071	Ψ 70,77

LANDSTAR SYSTEM, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY Twenty Six Weeks Ended June 27, 2009

(Dollars in thousands)
(Unaudited)

	Commor	n Stock		Additional Paid-In	Retained	Treasury at C		Accumulated Other Comprehensive	
	Shares	An	nount	Capital	Earnings	Shares	Amount	Income (Loss)	Total
Balance December 27, 2008	66,109,547	\$	661	\$ 154,533	\$ 704,331	14,424,887	\$ (605,828)	\$ (561)	\$ 253,136
Net income					31,751				31,751
Dividends paid (\$0.08 per share)					(4,123)				(4,123)
Purchases of common stock						443,800	(13,781)		(13,781)
Stock-based compensation				2,570					2,570
Exercises of stock options, including excess tax benefit	71,720		1	1,440					1,441
Foreign currency translation								23	23
Unrealized gain on available-for-sale investments, net of income taxes								242	242
Balance June 27, 2009	66,181,267	\$	662	\$ 158,543	\$ 731,959	14,868,687	\$(619,609)	\$ (296)	\$ 271,259
									·

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

LANDSTAR SYSTEM, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Landstar System, Inc. and its subsidiary, Landstar System Holdings, Inc., and reflect all adjustments (all of a normal, recurring nature) which are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair statement of the results for the periods presented. The preparation of the consolidated financial statements requires the use of management's estimates. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Landstar System, Inc. and its subsidiary are herein referred to as "Landstar" or the "Company."

(1) Share-based Payments

The Company's Board of Directors has amended and restated the 2002 Employee Stock Option Plan. As amended and restated, the 2002 Employee Stock Option Plan is now called the Amended and Restated 2002 Employee Stock Option and Stock Incentive Plan (the "ESOSIP"). The ESOSIP was approved by vote of the Company's shareholders at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders on April 30, 2009. The amendment and restatement of the ESOSIP will, among other things, provide the Compensation Committee of the Company's Board of Directors the power to grant equity and equity-based awards in addition to stock options, including restricted stock, stock appreciation rights, performance shares and other stock based awards. It also extends the term of the ESOSIP to 10 years after the date it was amended and restated by the Company's Board of Directors for all awards, except for incentive stock options which may not be granted after the tenth anniversary of the date the 2002 Employee Stock Option Plan was originally adopted by the Board.

In revising the ESOSIP, the Company has not increased the number of shares available for grant under the 2002 Employee Stock Option Plan. As originally adopted, 800,000 shares were authorized for issuance. Through the adjustment provisions of the 2002 Employee Stock Option Plan, to reflect stock splits with respect to the Company's common stock, the number of shares authorized for issuance has been adjusted to be 6,400,000 shares. Awards of restricted stock, performance shares or other stock-based awards now authorized under the ESOSIP would be made from the existing pool of shares available under the 2002 Employee Stock Option Plan. Moreover, to the extent that the awards of restricted stock, performance shares or other stock-based awards provide the recipient with the "full value" of the shares, and the settlement of an existing obligation is not otherwise payable in cash, each share granted would count as two shares against the share limit in the ESOSIP.

As of June 27, 2009, the Company had an employee stock option plan, the ESOSIP and one stock option plan for members of its Board of Directors (the "Plans"). No further grants can be made under the employee stock option plan as its term for granting stock options has expired. In addition, no further grants are to be made under the stock option plan for members of the Board of Directors. Amounts recognized in the financial statements with respect to these Plans are as follows (in thousands):

	Twenty Six Weeks Ended		Thirteen W	eeks Ended
	June 27, 2009	June 28, 2008	June 27, 2009	June 28, 2008
Total cost of the Plans during the period	\$ 2,570	\$ 3,352	\$ 1,181	\$ 1,659
Amount of related income tax benefit recognized during the period	650	1,060	297	530
Net cost of the Plans during the period	\$ 1,920	\$ 2,292	\$ 884	\$ 1,129

The fair value of each option grant on its grant date was calculated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions for grants made in the 2009 and 2008 twenty-six-week periods:

	2009	2008
Expected volatility	38.0%	33.0%
Expected dividend yield	0.400%	0.375%
Risk-free interest rate	1.50%	3.00%
Expected lives (in years)	4.0	4.1

The Company utilizes historical data, including exercise patterns and employee departure behavior, in estimating the term options will be outstanding. Expected volatility was based on historical volatility and other factors, such as expected changes in volatility arising from planned changes to the Company's business, if any. The risk-free interest rate was based on the yield of zero coupon U.S. Treasury bonds for terms that approximated the terms of the options granted. The weighted average grant date fair value of stock options granted during the twenty-six-week periods ended June 27, 2009 and June 28, 2008 was \$11.75 and \$12.60, respectively.

The following table summarizes information regarding the Company's stock options under the Plans:

	Number of Options	Exe	ted Average rcise Price er Share	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (years)	 gate Intrinsic lue (000s)
Options outstanding at December 27, 2008	2,505,644	\$	35.47		
Granted	362,000	\$	38.24		
Exercised	(71,720)	\$	15.57		
Forfeited	(93,000)	\$	42.74		
Options outstanding at June 27, 2009	2,702,924	\$	36.12	7.0	\$ 0
Options exercisable at June 27, 2009	1,333,424	\$	31.39	5.4	\$ 6,136

As of June 27, 2009, there were 1,906,747 stock options outstanding that were out-of-the-money based on that day's per share closing market price of \$35.99 as reported on the NASDAQ Global Select Market. The remaining 796,177 stock options outstanding as of June 27, 2009 that were in-the-money had an aggregate intrinsic value of \$10,777,000. The total intrinsic value of stock options exercised during the twenty-six-week periods ended June 27, 2009 and June 28, 2008 was \$1,453,000 and \$10,046,000, respectively.

As of June 27, 2009, there were 5,300,824 shares of the Company's common stock reserved for issuance upon exercise of options granted and to be granted under the Plans.

As of June 27, 2009, there was \$13,429,000 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested stock options granted under the Plans. The compensation cost related to these non-vested options is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 3.5 years.

Under the Directors' Stock Compensation Plan, outside members of the Board of Directors who are elected or re-elected to the Board receive 6,000 shares of common stock of the Company, subject to certain restrictions including restrictions on transfer. The Company issued 12,000 shares of its common stock in the twenty-six-week period ended June 28, 2008 to members of the Board of Directors upon such members' re-election at the 2008 annual stockholders' meeting. During the 2008 twenty-six-week period, the Company reported \$634,000 in compensation expense representing the fair market value of these share awards. There were no such shares issued in the twenty-six-week period ended June 27, 2009. As of June 27, 2009, there were 138,423 shares of the Company's common stock reserved for issuance upon the grant of stock under the Directors' Stock Compensation Plan.

(2) Income Taxes

The provisions for income taxes for the 2009 and 2008 twenty-six-week periods were based on estimated full year combined effective income tax rates of approximately 38.2% and 38.7%, respectively, which were higher than the statutory federal income tax rate primarily as a result of state taxes, the meals and entertainment exclusion and non-deductible stock-based compensation.

(3) Earnings Per Share

Earnings per common share amounts are based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding and diluted earnings per share amounts are based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding plus the incremental shares that would have been outstanding upon the assumed exercise of all dilutive stock options.

The following table provides a reconciliation of the average number of common shares outstanding used to calculate earnings per share to the average number of common shares and common share equivalents outstanding used to calculate diluted earnings per share (in thousands):

	Twenty Six Weeks Ended		Thirteen Weeks Ended	
	June 27, 2009	June 28, 2008	June 27, 2009	June 28, 2008
Average number of common shares outstanding	51,453	52,726 472	51,330 157	52,851
Incremental shares from assumed exercises of stock options Average number of common shares and common share equivalents	183	4/2	13/	522
outstanding	51,636	53,198	51,487	53,373

For the twenty-six-week and thirteen-week periods ended June 27, 2009 there were 2,012,747 and 1,906,747, respectively, options outstanding to purchase shares of common stock excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share because they were antidilutive. There were no options outstanding to purchase shares of common stock excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share because they were antidilutive for the twenty-six-week and thirteen-week periods ended June 28, 2008.

(4) Additional Cash Flow Information

During the 2009 twenty-six-week period, Landstar paid income taxes and interest of \$11,777,000 and \$2,438,000, respectively. During the 2008 twenty-six-week period, Landstar paid income taxes and interest of \$31,090,000 and \$4,577,000, respectively. Landstar acquired operating property by entering into capital leases in the amount of \$9,793,000 and \$703,000 in the 2009 and 2008 twenty-six-week periods, respectively.

(5) Segment Information

The following tables summarize information about Landstar's reportable business segments as of and for the twenty-six-week and thirteen-week periods ended June 27, 2009 and June 28, 2008 (in thousands):

	Twenty Six Weeks Ended							
		June 27, 2009			June 28, 2008			
	sportation ogistics	Insurance	Total	Transportation Logistics	Insurance	Total		
External revenue	\$ 942,032	\$ 18,379	\$960,411	\$ 1,288,231	\$ 18,248	\$1,306,479		
Investment income		675	675		1,869	1,869		
Internal revenue		15,517	15,517		15,861	15,861		
Operating income	36,496	16,998	53,494	73,560	17,614	91,174		
Goodwill	31,134		31,134	31,134		31,134		

		Thirteen Weeks Ended						
		June 27, 2009		June 28, 2008				
	Transportation	Transportation						
	Logistics	Insurance	Total	Logistics	Insurance	Total		
External revenue	\$ 482,098	\$ 9,066	\$491,164	\$ 688,631	\$ 9,020	\$697,651		
Investment income		250	250		773	773		
Internal revenue		9,686	9,686		10,009	10,009		
Operating income	21,390	8,386	29,776	41,174	9,011	50,185		

In the twenty-six-week and thirteen-week periods ended June 28, 2008, one customer accounted for 10 percent of the Company's revenue. In the twenty-six-week and thirteen-week periods ended June 27, 2009, there were no customers who accounted for 10 percent or more of the Company's revenue.

(6) Comprehensive Income

The following table includes the components of comprehensive income for the twenty-six-week and thirteen-week periods ended June 27, 2009 and June 28, 2008 (in thousands):

	Twenty Six Weeks Ended		Thirteen Weeks Ended	
	June 27, 2009	June 28, 2008	June 27, 2009	June 28, 2008
Net income	\$ 31,751	\$ 53,508	\$ 17,857	\$ 29,765
Unrealized holding gains/(losses) on available-for-sale investments, net of				
income taxes	242	(137)	394	(341)
Foreign currency translation gains	23	<u></u>	110	
Comprehensive income	\$ 32,016	\$ 53,371	\$ 18,361	\$ 29,424

Management has performed an analysis of the nature of the unrealized losses on available-for-sale investments to determine whether such losses are other-than-temporary. Unrealized losses, representing the excess of the purchase price of an investment over its market value as of the end of a period, considered to be other-than-temporary are to be included as a charge in the statement of income while unrealized losses considered to be temporary are to be included as a component of shareholders' equity. The accumulated unrealized loss on available-for-sale investments as of June 28, 2008 was considered by management to be temporary and therefore was reported as a component of shareholders' equity. The Company implemented the provisions of the Financial Accounting Standards Board Staff Position No. FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2, "Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments" ("FSP 115-2") during the Company's 2009 second fiscal quarter. The implementation of FSP 115-2 did not have a material effect on the financial position or results of operations of the Company.

Accumulated other comprehensive loss as reported as a component of shareholders' equity at June 27, 2009 of \$296,000 represents the unrealized net loss on the translation of the financial statements of the Company's Canadian operations of \$316,000 net of the cumulative unrealized holding gains on available-for-sale investments, net of income taxes, of \$20,000.

(7) Commitments and Contingencies

As of June 27, 2009, Landstar had \$28,847,000 of letters of credit outstanding under the Company's revolving credit facility and \$45,258,000 of letters of credit secured by investments held by the Company's insurance segment. Short-term investments include \$9,457,000 in current maturities of investment-grade bonds and \$14,334,000 of cash equivalents held by the Company's insurance segment at June 27, 2009. These short-term investments together with \$12,827,000 of the non-current portion of investment-grade bonds and \$10,868,000 of cash equivalents included in other assets at June 27, 2009, provide collateral for the \$45,258,000 of letters of credit issued to guarantee payment of insurance claims.

As further described in periodic and current reports previously filed by the Company with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"), the Company and certain of its subsidiaries (the "Defendants") are defendants in a suit (the "Litigation") brought in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida (the "District Court") by the Owner-Operator Independent Drivers Association, Inc. ("OOIDA") and four former BCO Independent Contractors (the "Named Plaintiffs" and, with OOIDA, the "Plaintiffs") on behalf of all independent contractors who provide truck capacity to the Company and its subsidiaries under exclusive lease arrangements (the "BCO Independent Contractors"). The Plaintiffs allege that certain aspects of the Company's motor carrier leases and related practices with its BCO Independent Contractors violate certain federal leasing regulations and seek injunctive relief, an unspecified amount of damages and attorneys' fees.

On March 29, 2007, the District Court denied the request by Plaintiffs for injunctive relief, entered a judgment in favor of the Defendants and issued written orders setting forth its rulings related to the decertification of the plaintiff class and other important elements of the Litigation relating to liability, injunctive relief and monetary relief. The Plaintiffs filed an appeal with the United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit (the "Appellate Court") of certain of the District Court's rulings in favor of the Defendants. The Defendants asked the Appellate Court to affirm such rulings and filed a cross-appeal with the Appellate Court with respect to certain other rulings of the District Court.

On September 3, 2008, the Appellate Court issued its ruling, which, among other things, affirmed the District Court's rulings that (i) the Defendants are not prohibited by the applicable federal leasing regulations from charging administrative or other fees to BCO Independent Contractors in connection with voluntary programs offered by the Defendants through which a BCO Independent Contractor may purchase discounted products and services for a charge that is deducted against the compensation payable to the BCO Independent Contractor (a "Charge-back Deduction"), (ii) the Plaintiffs are not entitled to restitution or disgorgement with respect to violations by Defendants of the applicable federal leasing regulations but instead may recover only actual damages, if any, which they sustained as a result of any such violations and (iii) the claims of BCO Independent Contractors may not be handled on a class action basis for purposes of determining the amount of actual damages, if any, they sustained as a result of any violations. Further, the analysis of the Appellate Court confirmed the absence of any violations alleged by the Plaintiffs of the federal leasing regulations with respect to the written terms of all leases currently in use between the Defendants and BCO Independent Contractors.

However, the ruling of the Appellate Court reversed the District Court's rulings (i) that an old version of the lease formerly used by Defendants but not in use with any current BCO Independent Contractor complied with applicable disclosure requirements under the federal leasing regulations with respect to adjustments to compensation payable to BCO Independent Contractors on certain loads sourced from the U. S. Department of Defense, and (ii) that the Defendants had provided sufficient documentation to BCO Independent Contractors under the applicable federal leasing regulations relating to how the component elements of Charge-back Deductions were computed. The Appellate Court then remanded the case to the District Court to permit the Plaintiffs to seek injunctive relief with respect to these violations of the federal leasing regulations and to hold an evidentiary hearing to give the Named Plaintiffs an opportunity to produce evidence of any damages they actually sustained as a result of such violations.

Each of the parties to the Litigation has filed a petition with the Appellate Court seeking rehearing of the Appellate Court's ruling; however, there can be no assurance that any petition for rehearing will be granted.

Although no assurances can be given with respect to the outcome of the Litigation, including any possible award of attorneys' fees to the Plaintiffs, the Company believes that (i) no Plaintiff has sustained any actual damages as a result of any violations by the Defendants of the federal leasing regulations and (ii) injunctive relief, if any, that may be granted by the District Court on remand is unlikely to have a material adverse financial effect on the Company.

The Company is involved in certain other claims and pending litigation arising from the normal conduct of business. Based on knowledge of the facts and, in certain cases, opinions of outside counsel, management believes that adequate provisions have been made for probable losses with respect to the resolution of all such other claims and pending litigation and that the ultimate outcome, after provisions thereof, will not have a material adverse effect on the financial condition of the Company, but could have a material effect on the results of operations in a given quarter or year.

(8) Concentrations of Credit Risk in Key Customers

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to significant concentrations of credit risk include accounts receivable from trade customers. The Company performs ongoing credit evaluations of the financial condition of its customers and an allowance for doubtful accounts is maintained as required under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. As a result of the significant weakness in the U.S. economy, the Company experienced a higher level of customer bad debt expense during the first half of 2009 than experienced in any first half of any of the previous five years. Credit risk with respect to the Company's accounts receivable historically has been broadly diversified due to the large number of entities comprising the Company's customer base and their dispersion across many different industries and geographical regions. No single customer accounted for more than 10% of Company revenue for the twenty-six-week period ended June 27, 2009, and no single customer accounted for more than 10% of the gross accounts receivable balance at June 27, 2009. It should be noted, however, that revenue from customers in the automotive sector represented in the aggregate approximately 7% of the Company's revenue for the 2009 twenty-six-week period. The Company estimates that receivable balances relating to customers with a significant concentration of their business in the automotive sector represented approximately 7% of gross accounts receivable at June 27, 2009. The financial condition of the U.S. domestic automotive industry may be adversely affected by the availability of credit to U.S. consumers and the overall financial condition of the U.S. domestic automotive sector, including the larger U.S. domestic automobile vendors, suppliers and other service providers, or in the Company's customers within the automotive sector, including the larger U.S. domestic automobile vendors, suppliers and other service providers, or in the Company's non-automotive sector customer accounts, could negatively impact the col

(9) Subsequent Event

In the first week of the Company's 2009 fiscal third quarter, the Company completed the acquisitions of two supply chain transportation integration companies. During the 2009 second quarter, the Company incurred \$2,005,000, or \$0.02 per common share (\$0.02 per diluted share), in one-time costs related to the completion of these acquisitions. Cash paid plus net liabilities assumed for the acquisitions was approximately \$32,000,000. In addition, the Company may be required to pay additional consideration to the prior owner of one of the acquired companies contingent on the acquired company achieving certain levels of earnings through December 2014. The Company expects that the acquisitions will not have a material effect on its revenues and earnings for the remainder of fiscal year end 2009.

The Company has evaluated the impact of subsequent events through July 29, 2009, the date on which the financial statements were available to be issued, and has determined that all subsequent events have been appropriately reflected in the accompanying financial statements.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the attached interim consolidated financial statements and notes thereto, and with the Company's audited financial statements and notes thereto for the fiscal year ended December 27, 2008 and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included in the 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Introduction

Landstar System, Inc. and its subsidiary, Landstar System Holdings, Inc. (together, referred to herein as "Landstar" or the "Company"), is a non-asset based transportation and logistics services company, providing transportation capacity and related transportation services to shippers throughout the United States and, to a lesser extent, in Canada, and between the United States and Canada, Mexico and other countries. These business services emphasize safety, information coordination and customer service and are delivered through a network of independent commission sales agents and third party capacity providers linked together by a series of technological applications which are provided and coordinated by the Company. The Company markets its services primarily through independent commission sales agents and exclusively utilizes third party capacity providers to handle customers' freight. The nature of the Company's business is such that a significant portion of its operating costs varies directly with revenue.

The transportation logistics segment provides a wide range of transportation and logistics services, including truckload transportation, rail intermodal, air cargo and ocean cargo services, the arrangement of multimodal (ground, air, ocean and rail) moves and warehousing. Industries serviced by the transportation logistics segment include automotive products, paper, lumber and building products, metals, chemicals, foodstuffs, heavy machinery, retail, electronics, ammunition and explosives and military hardware. In addition, the transportation logistics segment provides transportation services to other transportation companies, including logistics and less-than-truckload service providers. The transportation logistics segment also provides dedicated contract and logistics solutions, including freight optimization and less-than-truckload freight consolidations, expedited ground and air delivery of time-critical freight and the movement of containers via ocean. This segment markets its services primarily through independent commission sales agents who enter into contractual arrangements with Landstar and are responsible for locating freight, making that freight available to Landstar's capacity providers and coordinating the transportation of the freight with customers and capacity providers. The Company's third party capacity providers consist of independent contractors who provide truck capacity to the Company under exclusive lease arrangements (the "BCO Independent Contractors"), trucking companies who provide truck capacity to the Company under non-exclusive contractual arrangements (the "Truck Brokerage Carriers"), air cargo carriers, ocean cargo carriers, railroads and independent warehouse capacity providers ("Warehouse Capacity Owners"). As of June 27, 2009, Landstar had approximately 120 Warehouse Capacity Owners under contract. The Company has contracts with all of the Class 1 domestic railroads and certain Canadian railroads and contracts with domestic and international airlines and ocean lines. Each of the independent commission sales agents has the opportunity to market all of the services provided by the transportation logistics segment. During the twenty six weeks ended June 27, 2009, revenue hauled by BCO Independent Contractors, Truck Brokerage Carriers, rail intermodal, ocean cargo carriers and air cargo carriers represented 58%, 35%, 4%, 2%, and 1%, respectively, of the Company's transportation logistics segment revenue.

The insurance segment is comprised of Signature Insurance Company, a wholly owned offshore insurance subsidiary, and Risk Management Claim Services, Inc. This segment provides risk and claims management services to Landstar's Operating Subsidiaries. In addition, it reinsures certain risks of the Company's BCO Independent Contractors and provides certain property and casualty insurance directly to Landstar's operating subsidiaries. Revenue, representing premiums on reinsurance programs provided to the Company's BCO Independent Contractors, at the insurance segment represented approximately 2% of the Company's total revenue for the twenty six weeks ended June 27, 2009.

Changes in Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Management believes the Company's success principally depends on its ability to generate freight through its network of independent commission sales agents and to efficiently deliver that freight utilizing third party capacity providers. Management believes the most significant factors to the Company's success include increasing revenue, sourcing capacity and controlling costs.

While customer demand, which is subject to overall economic conditions, ultimately drives increases or decreases in revenue, the Company primarily relies on its independent commission sales agents to establish customer relationships and generate revenue opportunities. Management's primary focus with respect to revenue growth is on revenue generated by independent commission sales agents who on an annual basis generate \$1 million or more of Landstar revenue ("Million Dollar Agents"). Management believes future revenue growth is primarily dependent on its ability to increase both the revenue generated by Million Dollar Agents and the number of Million Dollar Agents through a combination of recruiting new agents and increasing the revenue opportunities generated by existing independent commission sales agents. During the 2008 fiscal year, 484 independent commission sales agents generated \$1 million or more of Landstar's revenue and thus qualified as Million Dollar Agents. During the 2008 fiscal year, the average revenue generated by a Million Dollar Agent was \$4,907,000 and revenue generated by Million Dollar Agents in the aggregate represented 90% of consolidated Landstar revenue. The Company had 1,436 and 1,409 agent locations at June 27, 2009 and June 28, 2008, respectively.

Management monitors business activity by tracking the number of loads (volume) and revenue per load (price). Revenue per load can be influenced by many factors which do not necessarily indicate a change in price. Those factors include the average length of haul, freight type, special handling and equipment requirements and delivery time requirements. For shipments involving two or more modes of transportation, revenue is classified by the mode of transportation having the highest cost for the load. The following table summarizes this data by mode of transportation:

	Twenty Six Weeks Ended		Thirteen Weeks Ended	
	June 27, 2009	June 28, 2008	June 27, 2009	June 28, 2008
Revenue generated through (in thousands):				
BCO Independent Contractors	\$550,665	\$ 700,195	\$288,600	\$375,391
Truck Brokerage Carriers	329,479	490,334	165,236	261,701
Rail intermodal	36,728	71,598	17,410	37,809
Ocean cargo carriers	17,518	18,220	8,667	9,786
Air cargo carriers	7,508	7,449	2,121	3,860
Other (1)	18,513	18,683	9,130	9,104
	\$960,411	\$1,306,479	\$491,164	\$697,651
Number of loads:				
BCO Independent Contractors	365,000	429,080	194,350	225,880
Truck Brokerage Carriers	240,020	288,970	122,370	146,940
Rail intermodal	18,290	31,000	8,710	16,020
Ocean cargo carriers	2,590	2,590	1,350	1,340
Air cargo carriers	5,100	3,870	1,840	1,880
	631,000	755,510	328,620	392,060
Revenue per load:				
BCO Independent Contractors	\$ 1,509	\$ 1,632	\$ 1,485	\$ 1,662
Truck Brokerage Carriers	1,373	1,697	1,350	1,781
Rail intermodal	2,008	2,310	1,999	2,360
Ocean cargo carriers	6,764	7,035	6,420	7,303
Air cargo carriers	1,472	1,925	1,153	2,053

⁽¹⁾ Includes premium revenue generated by the insurance segment and warehousing revenue generated by the transportation logistics segment.

Also critical to the Company's success is its ability to secure capacity, particularly truck capacity, at rates that allow the Company to profitably transport customers' freight. The following table summarizes available truck capacity providers:

June 27, 2009	June 28, 2008
8,286	8,222
14,827	16,080
11,082	9,219
25,909	25,299
34,195	33,521
8,875	8,804
	8,286 14,827 11,082 25,909 34,195

⁽¹⁾ Active refers to Truck Brokerage Carriers who moved at least one load in the 180 days immediately preceding the fiscal quarter end.

The Company incurs costs that are directly related to the transportation of freight that include purchased transportation and commissions to agents. The Company incurs indirect costs associated with the transportation of freight that include other operating costs and insurance and claims. In addition, the Company incurs selling, general and administrative costs essential to administering its business operations. Management continually monitors all components of the costs incurred by the Company and establishes annual cost budgets which, in general, are used to benchmark costs incurred on a monthly basis.

Purchased transportation represents the amount a BCO Independent Contractor or other third party capacity provider is paid to haul freight. The amount of purchased transportation paid to a BCO Independent Contractor is primarily based on a contractually agreed-upon percentage of revenue generated by the haul. Purchased transportation paid to a Truck Brokerage Carrier is based on either a negotiated rate for each load hauled or a contractually agreed-upon rate. Purchased transportation paid to rail intermodal, air

cargo or ocean cargo carriers is based on contractually agreed-upon fixed rates. Purchased transportation as a percentage of revenue for truck brokerage, rail intermodal and ocean cargo services is normally higher than that provided by BCO Independent Contractor and air cargo services. Purchased transportation is the largest component of costs and expenses and, on a consolidated basis, increases or decreases in proportion to the revenue generated through BCO Independent Contractors and other third party capacity providers and revenue from the insurance segment. Purchased transportation costs are recognized upon the completion of freight delivery.

Commissions to agents are based on contractually agreed-upon percentages of revenue or gross profit, defined as revenue less the cost of purchased transportation. Commissions to agents as a percentage of consolidated revenue will vary directly with fluctuations in the percentage of consolidated revenue generated by the various modes of transportation and the insurance segment and with changes in gross profit on services provided by Truck Brokerage Carriers, rail intermodal, air cargo and ocean cargo carriers. Commissions to agents are recognized upon the completion of freight delivery.

Maintenance costs for Company-provided trailing equipment, BCO Independent Contractor recruiting costs and bad debts from BCO Independent Contractors and independent commission sales agents are the largest components of other operating costs.

Potential liability associated with accidents in the trucking industry is severe and occurrences are unpredictable. Landstar's retained liability for individual commercial trucking claims varies depending on when such claims are incurred. For commercial trucking claims, Landstar retains liability up to \$5,000,000 per occurrence. The Company also retains liability for each general liability claim up to \$1,000,000, \$250,000 for each workers' compensation claim and up to \$250,000 for each cargo claim. The Company's exposure to liability associated with accidents incurred by Truck Brokerage Carriers, rail intermodal capacity providers and air cargo and ocean cargo carriers who transport freight on behalf of the Company is reduced by various factors including the extent to which they maintain their own insurance coverage. A material increase in the frequency or severity of accidents, cargo claims or workers' compensation claims or the unfavorable development of existing claims could be expected to materially adversely affect Landstar's results of operations.

Employee compensation and benefits account for over half of the Company's selling, general and administrative costs.

Depreciation and amortization primarily relate to depreciation of trailing equipment and management information services equipment.

The following table sets forth the percentage relationships of income and expense items to revenue for the periods indicated:

	Twenty Six V	Twenty Six Weeks Ended		Thirteen Weeks Ended	
	June 27, 2009	June 28, 2008	June 27, 2009	June 28, 2008	
Revenue	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Investment income	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	
Costs and expenses:					
Purchased transportation	74.8	76.8	74.6	77.2	
Commissions to agents	8.1	7.6	8.1	7.6	
Other operating costs	1.5	1.0	1.5	1.0	
Insurance and claims	2.0	1.5	2.0	1.4	
Selling, general and administrative	6.9	5.4	6.6	5.0	
Depreciation and amortization	1.2	0.8	1.2	0.7	
Total costs and expenses	94.5	93.1	94.0	92.9	
Operating income	5.6	7.0	6.1	7.2	
Interest and debt expense	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.3	
Income before income taxes	5.3	6.7	5.9	6.9	
Income taxes	2.0	2.6	2.3	2.6	
Net income	<u>3.3</u> %	4.1%	3.6%	4.3%	

TWENTY SIX WEEKS ENDED JUNE 27, 2009 COMPARED TO TWENTY SIX WEEKS ENDED JUNE 28, 2008

Revenue for the 2009 twenty-six-week period was \$960,411,000, a decrease of \$346,068,000, or 26.5%, compared to the 2008 twenty-six-week period. Revenue decreased \$346,199,000, or 26.9%, at the transportation logistics segment. The decrease in revenue at the transportation logistics segment was primarily attributable to decreased revenue hauled by BCO Independent Contractors, Truck Brokerage Carriers, rail intermodal carriers and ocean cargo carriers of 21%, 33%, 49% and 4%, respectively, partially offset by increased revenue hauled by air cargo carriers of 1%. The number of loads in the 2009 period hauled by BCO Independent

Contractors, Truck Brokerage Carriers and rail intermodal carriers decreased 15%, 17% and 41%, respectively, compared to the 2008 period. The number of loads hauled by air cargo carriers increased 32% over the 2008 period, while the number of loads hauled by ocean cargo carriers remained flat. Revenue per load for loads hauled by BCO Independent Contractors, Truck Brokerage Carriers, rail intermodal carriers, ocean cargo carriers and air cargo carriers decreased approximately 8%, 19%, 13%, 4% and 24%, respectively, compared to the 2008 period. The decrease in revenue per load hauled by BCO Independent Contractors, Truck Brokerage Carriers, rail intermodal and air and ocean cargo carriers was primarily attributable to lower demand due to the overall weak economic conditions which caused increased pressure on price. In addition, the decrease in revenue per load on Truck Brokerage Carrier revenue was partly attributable to decreased fuel surcharges identified separately in billings to customers in the 2009 period compared to the 2008 period. Fuel surcharges on Truck Brokerage Carrier revenue identified separately in billings to customers and included as a component of Truck Brokerage Carrier revenue were \$18,986,000 and \$67,748,000 in the 2009 and 2008 periods, respectively. Fuel surcharges billed to customers on revenue hauled by BCO Independent Contractors are excluded from revenue.

Investment income at the insurance segment was \$675,000 and \$1,869,000 in the 2009 and 2008 twenty-six-week periods, respectively. The decrease in investment income was primarily due to a decreased rate of return, attributable to a general decrease in interest rates on investments held by the insurance segment in the 2009 period.

Purchased transportation was 74.8% and 76.8% of revenue in the 2009 and 2008 twenty-six-week periods, respectively. The decrease in purchased transportation as a percentage of revenue was primarily attributable to decreased rates of purchased transportation paid to Truck Brokerage Carriers, due to lower fuel prices and excess truck capacity industry wide, and an increase in the percentage of revenue hauled by BCO Independent Contractors, which tends to have a lower cost of purchased transportation. Commissions to agents were 8.1% of revenue in the 2009 period and 7.6% of revenue in the 2008 period. The increase in commissions to agents as a percentage of revenue was primarily attributable to increased gross profit, defined as revenue less the cost of purchased transportation, on revenue hauled by Truck Brokerage Carriers. Other operating costs were 1.5% and 1.0% of revenue in the 2009 and 2008 periods, respectively. The increase in other operating costs as a percentage of revenue was primarily attributable to the effect of decreased revenue, increased trailing equipment maintenance costs and an increased provision for contractor bad debt, partially offset by decreased trailing equipment rental costs. Insurance and claims were 2.0% of revenue in the 2009 period and 1.5% of revenue in the 2008 period. The increase in insurance and claims as a percentage of revenue was primarily due to increased severity of commercial trucking claims and occupational accident claims in the 2009 period and favorable development of prior year claims reported in the 2008 period. Selling, general and administrative costs were 6.9% of revenue in the 2009 period and 5.4% of revenue in the 2008 period. The increase in selling, general and administrative costs as a percentage of revenue was primarily attributable to one-time costs related to the acquisition of two supply chain transportation integration companies completed in the Company's third fiscal quarter, the effect of decreased revenue and an increase in the provision for customer bad debt. In addition, there was no provision for bonuses reported in the 2009 period as management does not currently anticipate achieving bonus targets, whereas the 2008 period included a provision for bonuses. Depreciation and amortization was 1.2% of revenue in the 2009 period, compared with 0.8% in the 2008 period. The increase in depreciation and amortization as a percentage of revenue was primarily due to the effect of decreased revenue and depreciation on Company-owned trailing equipment.

Interest and debt expense was 0.3% of revenue in both the 2009 and 2008 twenty-six-week periods.

The provisions for income taxes for the 2009 and 2008 twenty-six-week periods were based on estimated full year combined effective income tax rates of approximately 38.2% and 38.7%, respectively, which were higher than the statutory federal income tax rate primarily as a result of state taxes, the meals and entertainment exclusion and non-deductible stock compensation expense. The decrease in the effective income tax rate was primarily attributable to state income tax planning strategies, implemented in the second half of 2008.

Net income was \$31,751,000, or \$0.62 per common share (\$0.61 per diluted share), in the 2009 twenty-six-week period. Included in the 2009 twenty-six-week period was \$2,005,000 of one-time costs related to the acquisition of two supply chain transportation integration companies completed in the first week of the 2009 third quarter. Net of related income tax benefits, these costs reduced net income for the twenty-six-week period ended June 27, 2009 by \$1,243,000, or \$0.02 per common share (\$0.02 per diluted share). Net income was \$53,508,000, or \$1.01 per common share (\$1.01 per diluted share), in the 2008 twenty-six-week period.

THIRTEEN WEEKS ENDED JUNE 27, 2009 COMPARED TO THIRTEEN WEEKS ENDED JUNE 28, 2008

Revenue for the 2009 thirteen-week period was \$491,164,000, a decrease of \$206,487,000, or 29.6%, compared to the 2008 thirteen-week period. Revenue decreased \$206,533,000, or 30.0%, at the transportation logistics segment. The decrease in revenue at the transportation logistics segment was primarily attributable to decreased revenue hauled by BCO Independent Contractors, Truck Brokerage Carriers, rail intermodal carriers and air and ocean cargo carriers of 23%, 37%, 54%, 11% and 45% respectively. The number of loads in the 2009 period hauled by BCO Independent Contractors, Truck Brokerage Carriers, rail intermodal carriers and air cargo carriers decreased 14%, 17%, 46% and 2%, respectively, compared to the 2008 period, while the number of loads hauled by

ocean cargo carriers increased 1% over the same period. Revenue per load for loads hauled by BCO Independent Contractors, Truck Brokerage Carriers, rail intermodal carriers and air and ocean cargo carriers decreased approximately 11%, 24%, 15%, 12% and 44%, respectively, compared to the 2008 period. The decrease in revenue per load hauled by BCO Independent Contractors, Truck Brokerage Carriers, rail intermodal and air and ocean cargo carriers was primarily attributable to lower demand due to the overall weak economic conditions which caused increased pressure on price. In addition, the decrease in revenue per load on Truck Brokerage Carrier revenue was partly attributable to decreased fuel surcharges identified separately in billings to customers in the 2009 period compared to the 2008 period. Fuel surcharges on Truck Brokerage Carrier revenue identified separately in billings to customers and included as a component of Truck Brokerage Carrier revenue were \$9,210,000 and \$39,883,000 in the 2009 periods, respectively.

Investment income at the insurance segment was \$250,000 and \$773,000 in the 2009 and 2008 thirteen-week periods, respectively. The decrease in investment income was primarily due to a decreased rate of return, attributable to a general decrease in interest rates on investments held by the insurance segment in the 2009 period.

Purchased transportation was 74.6% and 77.2% of revenue in the 2009 and 2008 thirteen-week periods, respectively. The decrease in purchased transportation as a percentage of revenue was primarily attributable to a decrease in the rate of purchased transportation paid to Truck Brokerage Carriers, due to lower fuel prices and excess truck capacity industry wide, and an increase in the percentage of revenue hauled by BCO Independent Contractors, which tends to have a lower cost of purchased transportation. Commissions to agents were 8.1% of revenue in the 2009 period and 7.6% of revenue in the 2008 period. The increase in commissions to agents as a percentage of revenue was primarily attributable to increased gross profit, defined as revenue less the cost of purchased transportation, on revenue hauled by Truck Brokerage Carriers. Other operating costs were 1.5% and 1.0% of revenue in the 2009 and 2008 periods, respectively. The increase in other operating costs as a percentage of revenue was primarily attributable to the effect of decreased revenue, increased trailing equipment maintenance costs and an increase in the provision for contractor bad debt, partially offset by decreased trailing equipment rental costs. Insurance and claims were 2.0% of revenue in the 2009 period and 1.4% of revenue in the 2008 period. The increase in insurance and claims as a percentage of revenue was primarily due to increased severity of commercial trucking claims and occupational accident claims in the 2009 period. Selling, general and administrative costs were 6.6% of revenue in the 2009 period and 5.0% of revenue in the 2008 period. The increase in selling, general and administrative costs as a percentage of revenue was primarily attributable to one-time costs related to the acquisition of two supply chain transportation integration companies completed in the company's third fiscal quarter and the effect of decreased revenue. In addition, there was no provision for bonuses reported in the 2009 period, whereas the 2008 period included a provision for bonuses. Depreciation and amortization was 1.2% of revenue in the 2009 period, compared with 0.7% in the 2008 period. The increase in depreciation and amortization as a percentage of revenue was primarily due to the effect of decreased revenue and depreciation on Company-owned trailing equipment.

Interest and debt expense was 0.2% and 0.3% of revenue in the 2009 and 2008 thirteen-week periods, respectively. The decrease in interest and debt expense as a percentage of revenue was primarily attributable to lower average borrowings on the company's senior credit facility, a lower average rate on borrowings under the company's senior credit facility and lower average capital lease obligations.

The provisions for income taxes for the 2009 and 2008 thirteen-week periods were based on estimated full year combined effective income tax rates of approximately 38.0% and 38.6%, respectively, which were higher than the statutory federal income tax rate primarily as a result of state taxes, the meals and entertainment exclusion and non-deductible stock compensation expense. The decrease in the effective income tax rate was primarily attributable to state income tax planning strategies, implemented in the second half of 2008.

Net income was \$17,857,000, or \$0.35 per common share (\$0.35 per diluted share), in the 2009 thirteen-week period. Included in the 2009 thirteen-week period was \$2,005,000 of one-time costs related to the acquisition of two supply chain transportation integration companies completed in the first week of the 2009 third quarter. Net of related income tax benefits, these costs reduced net income for the thirteen-week period ended June 27, 2009 by \$1,243,000, or \$0.02 per common share (\$0.02 per diluted share). Net income was \$29,765,000, or \$0.56 per common share (\$0.56 per diluted share), in the 2008 thirteen-week period.

CAPITAL RESOURCES AND LIQUIDITY

Shareholders' equity was \$271,259,000, or 81% of total capitalization (defined as total debt plus equity), at June 27, 2009, compared to \$253,136,000, or 65% of total capitalization, at December 27, 2008. The increase in shareholders' equity was primarily a result of net income and the effect of the exercises of stock options during the period, partially offset by the purchase of 443,800 shares of the Company's common stock at a total cost of \$13,781,000 and dividends paid. The Company paid \$0.08 per share, or \$4,123,000, in cash dividends during the twenty-six-week period ended June 27, 2009. It is the intention of the Board of Directors to continue to pay a quarterly dividend. As of June 27, 2009, the Company may purchase up to an additional 2,556,200 shares of its common stock under its authorized stock purchase programs. Long-term debt, including current maturities, was \$63,659,000 at June 27, 2009, \$72,786,000 lower than at December 27, 2008.

Working capital and the ratio of current assets to current liabilities were \$182,162,000 and 1.9 to 1, respectively, at June 27, 2009, compared with \$238,817,000 and 2.0 to 1, respectively, at December 27, 2008. Landstar has historically operated with current ratios within the range of 1.5 to 1 to 2.0 to 1. Cash provided by operating activities was \$106,347,000 in the 2009 twenty-six-week period compared with \$34,588,000 in the 2008 twenty-six-week period. The increase in cash flow provided by operating activities was primarily attributable to the timing of collections of receivables.

On June 27, 2008, Landstar entered into a credit agreement with a syndicate of banks and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent (the "Credit Agreement"). The Credit Agreement, which expires on June 27, 2013, provides \$225,000,000 of borrowing capacity in the form of a revolving credit facility, \$75,000,000 of which may be utilized in the form of letter of credit guarantees.

The Credit Agreement contains a number of covenants that limit, among other things, the incurrence of additional indebtedness. The Company is required to, among other things, maintain a minimum Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio, as defined in the credit agreement, and maintain a Leverage Ratio, as defined in the Credit Agreement, below a specified maximum. The Credit Agreement provides for a restriction on cash dividends and other distributions to stockholders on the Company's capital stock to the extent there is a default under the Credit Agreement. In addition, the Credit Agreement under certain circumstances limits the amount of such cash dividends and other distributions to stockholders in the event that after giving effect to any payment made to effect such cash dividend or other distribution, the Leverage Ratio would exceed 2.5 to 1 on a pro forma basis as of the end of the Company's most recently completed fiscal quarter. The Credit Agreement provides for an event of default in the event, among other things, that a person or group acquires 25% or more of the outstanding capital stock of the Company or obtains power to elect a majority of the Company's directors. None of these covenants are presently considered by management to be materially restrictive to the Company's operations, capital resources or liquidity. The Company is currently in compliance with all of the debt covenants under the Credit Agreement.

At June 27, 2009, the Company had \$28,847,000 of letters of credit outstanding under the Credit Agreement. At June 27, 2009, there was \$196,153,000 available for future borrowings under the Credit Agreement. In addition, the Company has \$45,258,000 in letters of credit outstanding, as collateral for insurance claims, that are secured by investments and cash equivalents totaling \$47,486,000. Investments, all of which are carried at fair value, consist of investment-grade bonds having maturities of up to five years. Fair value of investments is based primarily on quoted market prices.

Historically, the Company has generated sufficient operating cash flow to meet its debt service requirements, fund continued growth, both internal and through acquisitions, complete or execute share purchases of its common stock under authorized share purchase programs, pay dividends and meet working capital needs. As a non-asset based provider of transportation capacity and logistics services, the Company's annual capital requirements for operating property are generally for trailing equipment and management information services equipment. In addition, a significant portion of the trailing equipment used by the Company is provided by third party capacity providers, thereby reducing the Company's capital requirements. During the 2009 twenty-six-week period, the Company purchased \$2,047,000 of operating property and acquired \$9,793,000 of trailing equipment by entering into capital leases. Landstar anticipates purchasing approximately \$5,000,000 of operating property, primarily new trailing equipment to replace older trailing equipment, and information technology equipment during the remainder of fiscal year 2009 either by purchase or lease financing. In the first week of the Company's 2009 fiscal third quarter, the Company completed the acquisitions of two supply chain transportation integration companies. Cash paid plus net liabilities assumed for the acquisitions was approximately \$32,000,000 in the aggregate. In addition, the Company may be required to pay additional consideration to the prior owner of one of the acquired companies that is contingent on the acquired company achieving certain levels of earnings through December 2014.

The Company operates from its primary headquarters facility located at 13410 Sutton Park Drive South, Jacksonville, Florida (the "Facility"). The Facility is leased under a lease agreement between the Company and DRA CRT Landstar LLC, a non-related entity to the Company, as successor to Koger Equity, Inc., dated April 30, 1998 (the "Lease"). The Lease provides the Company with an option to purchase the Facility, including the land and the fixtures located thereon at a fixed price of \$21,135,000 in the first quarter of 2010 (the "Purchase Option"). The Company expects to exercise the Purchase Option in the first quarter of 2010, subject to the satisfaction of certain customary conditions under the terms of the Purchase Option. It is expected the purchase will be funded from the Company's existing cash and cash equivalents or from available funds under the Company's senior credit facility.

Management believes that cash flow from operations combined with the Company's borrowing capacity under the Credit Agreement will be adequate to meet Landstar's debt service requirements, fund continued growth, both internal and through acquisitions, pay dividends, complete the authorized share purchase programs and meet working capital needs.

LEGAL MATTERS

As further described in periodic and current reports previously filed by the Company with the SEC, the Company and certain of its subsidiaries (the "Defendants") are defendants in a suit (the "Litigation") brought in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida (the "District Court") by the Owner-Operator Independent Drivers Association, Inc. ("OOIDA") and four former BCO Independent Contractors (the "Named Plaintiffs" and, with OOIDA, the "Plaintiffs") on behalf of all independent contractors who provide truck capacity to the Company and its subsidiaries under exclusive lease arrangements (the "BCO Independent Contractors"). The Plaintiffs allege that certain aspects of the Company's motor carrier leases and related practices with its BCO Independent Contractors violate certain federal leasing regulations and seek injunctive relief, an unspecified amount of damages and attorneys' fees.

On March 29, 2007, the District Court denied the request by Plaintiffs for injunctive relief, entered a judgment in favor of the Defendants and issued written orders setting forth its rulings related to the decertification of the plaintiff class and other important elements of the Litigation relating to liability, injunctive relief and monetary relief. The Plaintiffs filed an appeal with the United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit (the "Appellate Court") of certain of the District Court's rulings in favor of the Defendants. The Defendants asked the Appellate Court to affirm such rulings and filed a cross-appeal with the Appellate Court with respect to certain other rulings of the District Court.

On September 3, 2008, the Appellate Court issued its ruling, which, among other things, affirmed the District Court's rulings that (i) the Defendants are not prohibited by the applicable federal leasing regulations from charging administrative or other fees to BCO Independent Contractors in connection with voluntary programs offered by the Defendants through which a BCO Independent Contractor may purchase discounted products and services for a charge that is deducted against the compensation payable to the BCO Independent Contractor (a "Charge-back Deduction"), (ii) the Plaintiffs are not entitled to restitution or disgorgement with respect to violations by Defendants of the applicable federal leasing regulations but instead may recover only actual damages, if any, which they sustained as a result of any such violations and (iii) the claims of BCO Independent Contractors may not be handled on a class action basis for purposes of determining the amount of actual damages, if any, they sustained as a result of any violations. Further, the analysis of the Appellate Court confirmed the absence of any violations alleged by the Plaintiffs of the federal leasing regulations with respect to the written terms of all leases currently in use between the Defendants and BCO Independent Contractors.

However, the ruling of the Appellate Court reversed the District Court's rulings (i) that an old version of the lease formerly used by Defendants but not in use with any current BCO Independent Contractor complied with applicable disclosure requirements under the federal leasing regulations with respect to adjustments to compensation payable to BCO Independent Contractors on certain loads sourced from the U. S. Department of Defense, and (ii) that the Defendants had provided sufficient documentation to BCO Independent Contractors under the applicable federal leasing regulations relating to how the component elements of Charge-back Deductions were computed. The Appellate Court then remanded the case to the District Court to permit the Plaintiffs to seek injunctive relief with respect to these violations of the federal leasing regulations and to hold an evidentiary hearing to give the Named Plaintiffs an opportunity to produce evidence of any damages they actually sustained as a result of such violations.

Each of the parties to the Litigation has filed a petition with the Appellate Court seeking rehearing of the Appellate Court's ruling; however, there can be no assurance that any petition for rehearing will be granted.

Although no assurances can be given with respect to the outcome of the Litigation, including any possible award of attorneys' fees to the Plaintiffs, the Company believes that (i) no Plaintiff has sustained any actual damages as a result of any violations by the Defendants of the federal leasing regulations and (ii) injunctive relief, if any, that may be granted by the District Court on remand is unlikely to have a material adverse financial effect on the Company.

The Company is involved in certain other claims and pending litigation arising from the normal conduct of business. Based on knowledge of the facts and, in certain cases, opinions of outside counsel, management believes that adequate provisions have been made for probable losses with respect to the resolution of all such other claims and pending litigation and that the ultimate outcome, after provisions in respect thereof, will not have a material adverse effect on the financial condition of the Company, but could have a material effect on the results of operations in a given quarter or year.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

The allowance for doubtful accounts for both trade and other receivables represents management's estimate of the amount of outstanding receivables that will not be collected. During the first half of 2009, the Company experienced a higher level of customer bad debt expense than experienced in any first half of any of the previous five years. Management believes this resulted from the difficult economic environment experienced by the Company's customers. Historically, management's estimates for uncollectible receivables have been materially correct. Although management believes the amount of the allowance for both trade and other receivables at June 27, 2009 is appropriate, a prolonged period of low or no economic growth may adversely affect the collection of these receivables. Conversely, a more robust economic environment may result in the realization of some portion of the estimated uncollectible receivables.

Landstar provides for the estimated costs of self-insured claims primarily on an actuarial basis. The amount recorded for the estimated liability for claims incurred is based upon the facts and circumstances known on the applicable balance sheet date. The ultimate resolution of these claims may be for an amount greater or less than the amount estimated by management. Historically, the Company has experienced both favorable and unfavorable development of prior years' claims estimates. The Company continually revises its existing claim estimates as new or revised information becomes available on the status of each claim. During the 2009 and 2008 twenty-six-week periods, insurance and claims costs included \$1,875,000 and \$3,598,000, respectively, of favorable adjustments to prior years' claims estimates. It is reasonably likely that the ultimate outcome of settling all outstanding claims will be more or less than the estimated claims reserve at June 27, 2009.

The Company utilizes certain income tax planning strategies to reduce its overall cost of income taxes. Upon audit, it is possible that certain strategies might be disallowed resulting in an increased liability for income taxes. Certain of these tax planning strategies result in a level of uncertainty as to whether the related tax positions would result in a recognizable benefit. The Company has provided for its estimated exposure attributable to certain positions that create uncertainty in the level of income tax benefit that would ultimately be realized. Management believes that the provision for liabilities resulting from the uncertainty in certain income tax positions is appropriate. To date, the Company has not experienced an examination by governmental revenue authorities that would lead management to believe that the Company's past provisions for exposures related to the uncertainty of certain income tax positions are not appropriate.

Significant variances from management's estimates for the amount of uncollectible receivables, the ultimate resolution of claims or the provision for uncertainty in income tax positions can be expected to positively or negatively affect Landstar's earnings in a given quarter or year. However, management believes that the ultimate resolution of these items, given a range of reasonably likely outcomes, will not significantly affect the long-term financial condition of Landstar or its ability to fund its continuing operations.

EFFECTS OF INFLATION

Management does not believe inflation has had a material impact on the results of operations or financial condition of Landstar in the past five years. However, inflation higher than that experienced in the past five years might have an adverse effect on the Company's results of operations.

SEASONALITY

Landstar's operations are subject to seasonal trends common to the trucking industry. Results of operations for the quarter ending in March are typically lower than the quarters ending June, September and December.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

The following is a "safe harbor" statement under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Statements contained in this document that are not based on historical facts are "forward-looking statements." This Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and other sections of this Form 10-Q contain forward-looking statements, such as statements which relate to Landstar's business objectives, plans, strategies and expectations. Terms such as "anticipates," "believes," "estimates," "expects," "plans," "predicts," "may," "should," "could," "will," the negative thereof and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Such statements are by nature subject to uncertainties and risks, including but not limited to: an increase in the frequency or severity of accidents or other claims; unfavorable development of existing accident claims; dependence on third party insurance companies; dependence on independent commission sales agents; dependence on third party capacity providers; substantial industry competition; dependence on key personnel; disruptions or failures in our computer systems; changes in fuel taxes; status of independent contractors; a downtum in economic growth or growth in the transportation sector; and other operational, financial or legal risks or uncertainties detailed in Landstar's Form 10-K for the 2008 fiscal year, described in Item 1A "Risk Factors", this report or in Landstar's other Securities and Exchange Commission filings from time to time. These risks and uncertainties could cause actual results or events to differ materially from historical results or those anticipated. Investors should not place undue reliance on such forward-looking statements and the Company undertakes no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

The Company is exposed to changes in interest rates as a result of its financing activities, primarily its borrowings on the revolving credit facility, and investing activities with respect to investments held by the insurance segment.

On June 27, 2008, Landstar entered into a credit agreement with a syndicate of banks and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent (the "Credit Agreement"). The Credit Agreement, which expires on June 27, 2013, provides \$225,000,000 of borrowing capacity in the form of a revolving credit facility, \$75,000,000 of which may be utilized in the form of letter of credit guarantees.

Borrowings under the Credit Agreement bear interest at rates equal to, at the option of the Company, either (i) the greater of (a) the prime rate as publicly announced from time to time by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and (b) the federal funds effective rate plus .5%, or, (ii) the rate at the time offered to JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. in the Eurodollar market for amounts and periods comparable to the relevant loan plus, in either case, a margin that is determined based on the level of the Company's Leverage Ratio, as defined in the Credit Agreement. As of June 27, 2009, there were no borrowings outstanding on the Credit Agreement.

Long-term investments, all of which are available-for-sale, consist of investment-grade bonds having maturities of up to five years. Assuming that the long-term portion of investments in bonds remains at \$12,827,000, the balance at June 27, 2009, a hypothetical increase or decrease in interest rates of 100 basis points would not have a material impact on future earnings on an annualized basis. Short-term investments consist of short-term investment-grade instruments and the current maturities of investment-grade bonds. Accordingly, any future interest rate risk on these short-term investments would not be material.

Assets and liabilities of the Company's Canadian operations are translated from their functional currency to U.S. dollars using exchange rates in effect at the balance sheet date and revenue and expense accounts are translated at average monthly exchange rates during the period. Adjustments resulting from the translation process are included in accumulated other comprehensive income. Transactional gains and losses arising from receivable and payable balances, including intercompany balances, in the normal course of business that are denominated in a currency other than the functional currency of the applicable operation are recorded in such operation's statements of income when they occur. The net assets held at the Company's Canadian subsidiary at June 27, 2009 were, as translated to U.S. dollars, less than 1% of total consolidated net assets. Accordingly, any translation gain or loss related to the Canadian operation would not be material.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report on Form 10-Q, an evaluation was carried out, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") and Chief Financial Officer ("CFO"), of the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended). Based on that evaluation, the CEO and CFO concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of June 27, 2009, to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports that it filed or submitted under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms.

There were no significant changes in the Company's internal controls over financial reporting during the Company's fiscal quarter ended June 27, 2009 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

In designing and evaluating controls and procedures, Company management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. Because of the inherent limitation in any control system, no evaluation or implementation of a control system can provide complete assurance that all control issues and all possible instances of fraud have been or will be detected.

PART II

OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

As further described in periodic and current reports previously filed by the Company with the SEC, the Company and certain of its subsidiaries (the "Defendants") are defendants in a suit (the "Litigation") brought in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida (the "District Court") by the Owner-Operator Independent Drivers Association, Inc. ("OOIDA") and four former BCO Independent Contractors (the "Named Plaintiffs" and, with OOIDA, the "Plaintiffs") on behalf of all independent contractors who provide truck capacity to the Company and its subsidiaries under exclusive lease arrangements (the "BCO Independent Contractors"). The Plaintiffs allege that certain aspects of the Company's motor carrier leases and related practices with its BCO Independent Contractors violate certain federal leasing regulations and seek injunctive relief, an unspecified amount of damages and attorneys' fees.

On March 29, 2007, the District Court denied the request by Plaintiffs for injunctive relief, entered a judgment in favor of the Defendants and issued written orders setting forth its rulings related to the decertification of the plaintiff class and other important elements of the Litigation relating to liability, injunctive relief and monetary relief. The Plaintiffs filed an appeal with the United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit (the "Appellate Court") of certain of the District Court's rulings in favor of the Defendants. The Defendants asked the Appellate Court to affirm such rulings and filed a cross-appeal with the Appellate Court with respect to certain other rulings of the District Court.

On September 3, 2008, the Appellate Court issued its ruling, which, among other things, affirmed the District Court's rulings that (i) the Defendants are not prohibited by the applicable federal leasing regulations from charging administrative or other fees to BCO Independent Contractors in connection with voluntary programs offered by the Defendants through which a BCO Independent Contractor may purchase discounted products and services for a charge that is deducted against the compensation payable to the BCO Independent Contractor (a "Charge-back Deduction"), (ii) the Plaintiffs are not entitled to restitution or disgorgement with respect to violations by Defendants of the applicable federal leasing regulations but instead may recover only actual damages, if any, which they sustained as a result of any such violations and (iii) the claims of BCO Independent Contractors may not be handled on a class action basis for purposes of determining the amount of actual damages, if any, they sustained as a result of any violations. Further, the analysis of the Appellate Court confirmed the absence of any violations alleged by the Plaintiffs of the federal leasing regulations with respect to the written terms of all leases currently in use between the Defendants and BCO Independent Contractors.

However, the ruling of the Appellate Court reversed the District Court's rulings (i) that an old version of the lease formerly used by Defendants but not in use with any current BCO Independent Contractor complied with applicable disclosure requirements under the federal leasing regulations with respect to adjustments to compensation payable to BCO Independent Contractors on certain loads sourced from the U. S. Department of Defense, and (ii) that the Defendants had provided sufficient documentation to BCO Independent Contractors under the applicable federal leasing regulations relating to how the component elements of Charge-back Deductions were computed. The Appellate Court then remanded the case to the District Court to permit the Plaintiffs to seek injunctive relief with respect to these violations of the federal leasing regulations and to hold an evidentiary hearing to give the Named Plaintiffs an opportunity to produce evidence of any damages they actually sustained as a result of such violations.

Each of the parties to the Litigation has filed a petition with the Appellate Court seeking rehearing of the Appellate Court's ruling; however, there can be no assurance that any petition for rehearing will be granted.

Although no assurances can be given with respect to the outcome of the Litigation, including any possible award of attorneys' fees to the Plaintiffs, the Company believes that (i) no Plaintiff has sustained any actual damages as a result of any violations by the Defendants of the federal leasing regulations and (ii) injunctive relief, if any, that may be granted by the District Court on remand is unlikely to have a material adverse financial effect on the Company.

The Company is involved in certain other claims and pending litigation arising from the normal conduct of business. Based on knowledge of the facts and, in certain cases, opinions of outside counsel, management believes that adequate provisions have been made for probable losses with respect to the resolution of all such other claims and pending litigation and that the ultimate outcome, after provisions in respect thereof, will not have a material adverse effect on the financial condition of the Company, but could have a material effect on the results of operations in a given quarter or year.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Acquired businesses and potential acquisitions. On July 2, 2009, the Company announced the acquisition of two supply chain transportation integration companies. The Company intends to continue to evaluate and selectively pursue acquisitions that it believes are strategically important based on the potential that any such acquisition candidates would further strengthen the Company's service offerings, information technology platform and customer base and would generate additional revenue and earnings growth. The Company makes no assurance that the Company will be able to successfully complete the integration of the businesses that have recently been acquired or successfully integrate any businesses that the Company might acquire in the future. If the Company fails to do so, or if the Company does so but at greater cost than anticipated, or if the acquired businesses experience earnings growth significantly below those anticipated, the Company's financial results may be adversely affected.

For additional discussion identifying risk factors and other important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those anticipated, see the discussions under Part I, Item 1A, "Risk Factors" in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 27, 2008, and in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and "Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements" in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Purchases of Equity Securities by the Company

The following table provides information regarding the Company's purchases of its common stock during the period from March 29, 2009 to June 27, 2009, the Company's second fiscal quarter:

Fiscal Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	ge Price Paid er Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Programs	Maximum Number of Shares That May Yet Be Purchased Under the Programs
March 28, 2009				2,608,982
Mar. 29, 2009 – Apr. 25, 2009				2,608,982
Apr. 26, 2009 – May 23, 2009	52,782	\$ 34.54	52,782	2,556,200
May 24, 2009 – June 27, 2009				2,556,200
Total	52,782	\$ 34.54	52,782	

On July 16, 2008, Landstar System, Inc. announced that it had been authorized by its Board of Directors to purchase up to an additional 2,000,000 shares of its common stock from time to time in the open market and in privately negotiated transactions. On January 28, 2009, Landstar System, Inc. announced that it had been authorized by its Board of Directors to purchase up to an additional 1,569,377 shares of its common stock from time to time in the open market and in privately negotiated transactions. No specific expiration date has been assigned to either the July 16, 2008 or January 28, 2009 authorizations.

During the twenty-six-week period ended June 27, 2009, Landstar paid dividends as follows:

Dividend Amount per share	Declaration Date	Record Date	Payment Date
\$0.04	January 27, 2009	February 6, 2009	February 27, 2009
\$0.04	April 14, 2009	May 7, 2009	May 29, 2009

On June 27, 2008, Landstar entered into a credit agreement with a syndicate of banks and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent (the "Credit Agreement"). The Credit Agreement provides for a restriction on cash dividends and other distributions to stockholders on the Company's capital stock to the extent there is a default under the Credit Agreement. In addition, the Credit Agreement, under certain circumstances, limits the amount of such cash dividends and other distributions to stockholders in the event that, after giving effect to any payment made to effect such cash dividend or other distribution, the Leverage Ratio, as defined in the Credit Agreement, would exceed 2.5 to 1 on a pro forma basis as of the end of the Company's most recently completed fiscal quarter.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

On April 30, 2009, Landstar System, Inc. ("LSI") held its Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the "Meeting") at its offices in Jacksonville, FL. The matters voted upon at the Meeting included (i) the election of one Class I director whose term will expire at the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, (ii) ratification of the appointment of KPMG LLP as LSI's independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal year 2009, and (iii) the approval of an amendment to the Company's 2002 Employee Stock Option Plan, in the form of the Amended and Restated 2002 Employee Stock Option and Stock Incentive Plan.

With respect to the election of one Class I director at the Meeting, nominee Henry H. Gerkens was elected to the Board of Directors of LSI. Mr. Gerkens received 48,395,379 votes for election to the Board and 1,059,799 votes were withheld.

With respect to the second matter voted on at the Meeting, the proposal to ratify the appointment of KPMG LLP as LSI's independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal year 2009 was approved by LSI's stockholders. Votes cast for the proposal were 48,970,823, votes cast against were 466,353 and votes abstaining were 18,003.

With respect to the third matter voted on at the Meeting, the proposal to approve the amendment to the 2002 Employee Stock Option plan was approved by LSI's stockholders. Votes cast for the proposal were 39,710,695, votes cast against were 6,999,550 and votes abstaining were 782,813.

Item 5. Other Information

None.

Item 6. Exhibits

The exhibits listed on the Exhibit Index are furnished as part of this quarterly report on Form 10-Q.

EXHIBIT INDEX

Registrant's Commission File No.: 0-21238

Exhibit No.	Description
(31)	Certifications Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002:
31.1*	Chief Executive Officer certification, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2*	Chief Financial Officer certification, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
(32)	Certifications Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002:
32.1**	Chief Executive Officer certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2**	Chief Financial Officer certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

^{*} Filed herewith

^{**} Furnished herewith

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

LANDSTAR SYSTEM, INC.

Date: July 31, 2009 /s/ Henry H. Gerkens

Henry H. Gerkens

President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: July 31, 2009 /s/ James B. Gattoni

James B. Gattoni

Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

SECTION 302 CERTIFICATION

I, Henry H. Gerkens, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Landstar System, Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: July 31, 2009

/s/ Henry H. Gerkens Henry H. Gerkens

President and Chief Executive Officer

SECTION 302 CERTIFICATION

I, James B. Gattoni, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Landstar System, Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: July 31, 2009

/s/ James B. Gattoni

James B. Gattoni

Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Landstar System, Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ending June 27, 2009, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Henry H. Gerkens, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certify pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: July 31, 2009

/s/ Henry H. Gerkens

Henry H. Gerkens President and Chief Executive Officer

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Landstar System, Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ending June 27, 2009, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, James B. Gattoni, Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: July 31, 2009

/s/ James B. Gattoni

James B. Gattoni

Vice President and Chief Financial Officer